The 4th International Conference on Environmental Health (ICOEH)

Social Capital Model to Increase Sustainability Awareness in Dengue Fever (DHF)
Prevention with One House One Mosquito Net (GESARUSAJU) Movement

Budi Yulianto<sup>1\*</sup>, Aries Prasetyo<sup>2</sup>, Handoyo<sup>3</sup>, Nurlailis Saadah<sup>4</sup>, Uswatun Khasanah<sup>5</sup> Department of Environmental Health, Poltekkes Kemenkes Surabaya, Indonesia \*Corresponding author: budyul.by@gmail.com

## **ABSTRACT**

Dengue fever cases in Magetan are increasing from year to year. In 2021, there were 208 cases of dengue fever in Magetan, 3 people died. In 2022, from January to September 2022, it increased to 322 cases and 5 people died, it is predicted that it will continue to increase along with the change of the hot rainy season or unpredictable weather. Objectives: To analyze the social capital model of dengue fever endemic areas and the influence of social capital on sustainability awareness in preventing dengue fever in Magetan Regency. Method: This study consists of 2 stages. Stage 1: Identification and analysis of factors that are indicators of Social Capital in the community and compiling a Social Capital approach model to increase Sustainability Awareness in preventing DHF using an observational survey with a Cross Sectional design. Conclusion The conclusion of this study is that there are differences in several social capital indicators between dengue fever endemic areas and dengue fever free areas. There is a significant difference in sustainability awareness between dengue fever endemic and dengue fever-free areas, where good sustainability awareness is found in dengue fever-free areas. There is an influence of several social capital indicators on sustainability awareness in dengue fever endemic and dengue fever-free areas, where in dengue fever endemic areas the factors that influence are values, cooperation, and participation. Meanwhile, in DHF-free areas, the factors that influence values are belief systems, cooperation, participation, attitudes and satisfaction.

Keywords: Gesarusaju, Socil Capital, Sustainability Awareness, DHF, Trust

# **INTRODUCTION**

Dengue fever cases in Magetan are increasing from year to year. In 2021, there were 208 cases of DHF in Magetan, 3 people died. In 2022, from January to September 2022, it increased to 322 cases and 5 people died, it is predicted that it will continue to increase along with the change of the hot rainy season or unpredictable weather. Of the 22 Health Centers in Magetan Regency, only 5 Health Center areas are not endemic to DHF and 3 Health Centers are endemic areas with the most cases (Magetan Health Office, 2022).

Areas affected by dengue fever are generally densely populated areas, houses close together facilitate the transmission of the disease, the Aedes aegypti mosquito has a maximum flying distance of 500 meters. The increasing population, better transportation, population mobility, the presence of open water reservoirs, are prone to causing the development of mosquito larvae and dengue viruses, if intensive eradication efforts are not carried out, so preventing the development of Aedes aegypti mosquitoes as vectors of dengue fever transmission is absolutely necessary (1). Eradication of mosquito nests with 3M should be carried out not only at home, but also in public places, where people gather in the morning such as at school, office, campus because many Aedes aegypti mosquitoes suck human blood in the morning. Social capital emphasizes the potential of groups and

e-ISSN: 3030-878X

patterns of relationships between individuals in a group and between groups with attention to social networks, norms, values and beliefs between each other that are born from group members and become group norms (2).

## **RESEARCH METHOD**

This study consists of 2 stages. Stage 1: Identification and analysis of factors that are indicators of Social Capital in the community and compiling a Social Capital approach model to increase Sustainability Awareness in preventing DHF using an observational survey with a Cross Sectional design. Stage 2: Implementation of the Social Capital approach model in increasing Sustainability Awareness in preventing DHF through training and mentoring in the community for the prevention and eradication of DHF with Gesarusaju (One House One Jumantik Movement) using Quasi Experimental with The Nonrandomized Control Group Pretest Posttest Design.

## RESULT AND DISCUSSION

This research is a first-year research where stage I is to compile a research model on the social capital approach to increasing community sustainability awareness in preventing DHF in Magetan Regency. The population was taken from 22 Health Centers in Magetan Regency which is an area with a high number of DHF or an endemic area. The model was formed through several stages of analysis and testing with STATA analysis until a fit model was found, from several variables and their indicators, in this study it was proven to influence the incidence of DHF although the magnitude of the influence was not the same between one variable and another.

 Table 1. Indicator Description

14010 11 111	arcutor	Frequency	Percentage
X1.1. Age	< 20	12	8.5%
MI.I. Mgc	Years	12	0.570
	20 - 35	117	83.5%
	Years	117	03.570
	> 35	11	8%
	Years	11	070
X1.2.	SD	4	2.25%
Education	Junior	29	21%
	High	2)	2170
	School		
	High	100	72%
	School		
	Colleg	7	4.75%
	e		
X1.3. Jobs	civil	2	1.4%
	servant		
	Private	34	24.3%
	Farmer	24	17.5%
	house	80	56.8%
	wife		
X2.1. Value	Good	86	61%
	Enoug	54	39%
	h		
X2.2.	Good	88	63%
Belief	Enoug	52	37%
System	h		
X2.3.	Good	81	58%
Cooperatio	Enoug	59	42%
n	h		
X2.4.	Good	95	68%
Participatio	Enoug	45	32%
n	h		
X2.5.	Good	32	23%
Perception	Enoug	108	77%
	h		
X2.6.	Good	99	71%
Satisfaction	Enoug	41	29%
	h		
Y1.	Good	108	77%
Sustainabili	Enoug	32	23%
ty	h		
Awareness	* 7		01 =::
Y2. Dengue		114	81.7%
Fever Incident	No	26	17.3%

18-19 September (2024)

Based on table 1 it is known that Most of the mothers' ages are between 20-35 years, most of them have a high school education, and most of them work as housewives.

**Table 2.** Value Indicators

Mar	Ngy		Mgt		Tot
wiai k	Freque	%	Freque	%	al
K	ncy		ncy		aı
Goo	35	25	25	17.8	60
d		%		%	
Enou	73	52.1	70	50	14
gh		%		%	3
Not	32	22.9	45	32.2	77
enou		%		%	
gh					
	140	100	140	100	28
					0

P-value =  $0.005 > \alpha = 0.05$ 

Table 2 describes the condition of social capital in the value indicators in the District. Ngy and Mgt. In endemic areas, the most indicator values are Sufficient (52.1%), not much different from areas free of dengue fever in the Sufficient category (50%). Mann Whitney difference test was conducted, p-value of 0.005 means there is no difference in the indicator value of social capital in endemic areas of dengue fever and areas free of dengue fever.

**Table 3.** Belief System Indicators

Mar	Ngy		Mgt		Tot
k	Freque	%	Freque	%	al
K	ncy		ncy		aı
Goo	66	47.1	32	22.9	98
d		%		%	
Enou	43	30.7	62	44.2	10
gh		%		%	5
Less	31	22.2	46	32.9	77
		%		%	
,	140	100	140	100	28
					0

P-value =  $0.005 < \alpha = 0.05$ 

Ngy and Mgt Districts which have a good belief system (47.1 %) in contrast to the control area with a sufficient belief system (44.2%). Mann Whitney test was

conducted, the p-value of 0.005 was smaller than  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

**Table 4.** Cooperation Indicators

Mar	Ngy		Mgt		Tot
lviai k	Freque	%	Freque	%	al
K	ncy		ncy		aı
Goo	38	27.1	37	26.4	75
d		%		%	
Enou	75	53.5	81	57.8	15
gh		%		%	6
Not	27	19.4	22	15.8	49
enou		%		%	
gh					
	140	100	140	100	28
					0

P-value =  $0.720 > \alpha = 0.05$ 

Table 4 illustrates the frequency distribution of social capital indicators of cooperation in the case area in the sufficient category (53.5 %), while in the control area in the sufficient category (57.8%) it is not much different. Mann Whitney test was performed, the p-value of 0.720 is greater than  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

**Table 5.** Participation Indicators

Table .	o i artici	panon	marcato	1.5	
Mar	Ng	y	Mg	ţt	То
wiai k	Frequ	%	Frequ	%	tal
K	ency		ency		tai
Goo	50	35.	39	27.	89
d		7%		8%	
Eno	74	52.	68	48.	14
ugh		8%		5%	2
Not	16	11.	33	23.	49
enou		5%		7%	
gh					
	140	100	140	100	28
					0

P-value =  $0.021 < \alpha = 0.05$ 

Table 5 describes social capital in the participation indicator of the case group in the sufficient category (52.8 %), while in the control area in the sufficient category (48.5%). The Mann Whitney test shows that there is a difference in participation indicators in social capital with a p-value of 0.021, which is smaller than  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

18-19 September (2024)

**Table 6.** Perception Indicators

Mar	Ngy		Mgt		Tot
k	Freque	%	Freque	%	al
	ncy		ncy		a1
Goo	39	27.8	41	29.2	80
d		%		%	
Enou	74	52.8	52	37.1	12
gh		%		%	6
Not	27	19.4	47	33.7	74
enou		%		%	
gh					
	140	100	140	100	28
					0

P-value =  $0.162 > \alpha = 0.05$ 

Table 6 illustrates the frequency distribution of social capital perception indicators in the case area in the sufficient category (52.8 %), while in the control area in the sufficient category (37.1%). The Mann Whitney test obtained a p-value of 0.162, which is greater than  $\alpha = 0.05$ . This means that there is no difference in the social capital perception indicators in the case area and the control area.

**Table 7.** Satisfaction Indicator

Valu	Mrs		Mgt		То
v aiu e	Frequ	%	Frequ	%	tal
	ency		ency		tai
Goo	35	25	38	27.	73
d		%		1%	
Eno	75	53.	84	60	15
ugh		5%		%	9
Less	30	21.	18	12.	48
		4%		9%	
	140	100	140	100	28
					0

P-value =  $0.292 > \alpha = 0.05$ 

Table 7 illustrates the frequency distribution of social capital satisfaction indicators in the case area in the sufficient category (53.5 %), while in the control area in the sufficient category (60%). Mann Whitney test showed no difference between endemic and free areas with a p-value of 0.292, which is greater than  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

Sustainability awareness in dengue fever endemic areas and free areas is described as follows:

**Table 8.** Frequency Distribution of Sustainability Awareness in Ngy and Mgt Districts

Sustain	Ng	y	Mg	ţt	
ability	Frequ	%	Frequ	%	To
Aware	ency		ency		tal
ness					
Good	54	38.	85	60.	13
		5%		7%	9
Not	86	61.	55	39.	14
enough		5%		3%	1
	140	10	140	10	28
		0		0	0

P-value =  $0.000 < \alpha = 0.05$ 

Table 8 describes Sustainability Awareness in the District. Ngy is in the less category (61.5%) than Kec. Mgt with good category (60.7%). Mann Whitney test obtained p-value of 0.000 smaller than  $\alpha =$ 0.05 which means there is a significant difference in Sustainability Awareness of Ngy District as an endemic area with Ngy District. Mgt. This is because the two regions have different capabilities in processing information about incidents and their control measures, which influences decision-making in preventing DHF incidents.

## **CONCLUSION**

The conclusion of this study is that there are differences in several social capital indicators between dengue fever endemic areas and dengue fever free areas. There is a significant difference in sustainability awareness between dengue fever endemic and dengue fever-free areas, where good sustainability awareness is found in dengue fever-free areas. There is an influence of several social capital indicators on sustainability awareness in dengue fever endemic and dengue feverfree areas, where in dengue fever endemic areas the factors that influence are values, cooperation, and participation. Meanwhile, in DHF-free areas, the factors that influence values are belief systems, cooperation, participation, attitudes and satisfaction.

#### REFERENCE

- (1) Yulianto B, Santosa BJ, Handoyo S. Empowering Communities to Prevent and Overcome DBD/DHF with Psn 3m Plus [Internet]. Scopindo Media Pustaka; 2023 [Cited 2024 Aug 17].
- (2) Prayoga RA. Activation of Social Capital as a Strategy to Maintain Survival in Tegalpanggung Yogyakarta. Journal of Social Welfare Research. 2021;20 (2):115–28.
- (3) Moita S, Patta I. Social Capital Utilization Strategy Training in Facing the COVID-19 Pandemic. Abdi Insani Journal. 2021;8 (1):54–64.
- (4) Suparyana PK, Yakin A, Amiruddin HS, Sukardi L. Social Capital of Farmer Group Partnerships in the Rarung Forest Area During the Covid-19 Pandemic. Journal of Tropical Forestry Volume [Internet]. 2022 [Cited 2024 Aug 17];10 (1). Available From:
  - Https://Www.Academia.Edu/Downloa d/88014908/7952.Pdf
- (5) Muhamamd M, Hatim F, Usman E. The Role of Social Capital (Group Groups) in Community Welfare: A Case Study of Guraping Village, North Oba District, Tidore Islands City. Scientific Horizon Journal. 2021;1 (5):997–1004.
- (6) Santi K. Identification of Problem Solving with Social Skills as a Prospective Social Studies Teacher. 2022 [Cited 2024 Aug 17]; Available From:
  - Https://Osf.Io/Preprints/Thesiscommo ns/Juszt/
- (7) Fadilah L, Wijaya A. PAI Teacher's Strategy in Developing Student's Emotional Intelligence. Journal of Contemporary Islamic Education. 2022;2(1):29–47.

- (8) West Tj. Islamic Therapy to Increase Self-Awareness for Drug Addicts at the Inabah Xv Putra Youth Boarding School, Suryalaya Islamic Boarding School. [Cited 2024 Aug 17]; Available From: Https://Digilib.Uin-Suka.Ac.Id/Id/ File/1028475
- (9) Fitria U, Yanto BE. The Urgency of Social Capital in the Formation of Entrepreneurial Character [Internet]. K-Media Publisher; 2022 [Cited 2024 Aug 17].
- (10) Ulum MC, Anggaini NLV. Community Empowerment: Theory and Practice of Community Empowerment [Internet]. Universitas Brawijaya Press; 2020 [Cited 2024 Aug 17]. Available From:
- (11) Ridolla A, Kasmiati K. The Role of Social Capital in Improving Community Welfare Through Economic Empowerment of Batik Craftsmen in Ponorogo. Investama: Journal of Economics and Business. 2022;8(1):31–44.
- (12) Hamzani Y. Community Economic Empowerment Through The Implementation Of Banjar Traditional Values. Journal of Management And Culture. 2024;4 (2):81–101.
- (13) Find SA. Entrepreneurial Performance of Makassar Ethnic Bugis SME Actors: A Review of Entrepreneurial Capabilities, Entrepreneurial Culture, Social Capital And Entrepreneurship [Internet]. Adab Publishers; 2021 [Cited 2024 Aug 17]. Available From:
- (14) Ridha MR, Yudhastuti R, Juhairiyah J, Garjito TA, Aisyah St, Nisa K, Et Al. Participatory Action Research For Dengue Control In Samarinda, Indonesia. IJPHS. 2024 Dec 1;13 (4):1738.